

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,712	12/07/2001	Rinaldo Mezzalira	M38-024	4728
75	90 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
R. Neil Sudol			HOOK, JAMES F	
Coleman Sudol			(ADTIDUTE T	D. DED 18114DED
714 Colorado A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601 3754				
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/009,712	MEZZALIRA, RINALDO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James F. Hook	3754	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the application	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/009,712

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-25, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vohrer (EP 118,613) in view of Nathan. The patent to Vohrer discloses the recited multiple layer reinforced flexible hose comprising (as seen in figures 1-3 and 5) at least one first inner tubular layer 1,1a,1b made of an extruded plastic material, at least one second outer tubular layer 2,2a,2b made of an extruded plastic material, a tubular reinforcement 4 between the layers made of a textile material, the layers are homogeneously joined to define a wall having an overall predetermined thickness an end portion of the wall having an increased thickness along a longitudinal portion 9,15,23,24 for sealing at the end of the tube whose full length is L2 to some sort of fixture considered connection organs, where the increase in thickness in the areas 9,15,23,24 is considered linear till it reaches the point near the free ends where portion 10,16,25,26 changes non-linearly within this larger thickness portion provided in the

Application/Control Number: 10/009,712

Art Unit: 3754

longitudinal portion, where figure 1 shows the thickness change localized on the outer member, figure 2 shows the thickness change localized on the inner member, and figure 3 shows the thickness change localized on both the inner and outer members. The patent to Vohrer discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the change in diameter of a circular or conical non linear stepped increase with the increased thickness being substantially constant along the whole extension of each longitudinal thickness. The patent to Nathan discloses in various embodiments in figures 1-4 forming an outer end of a tube with a stepped thicker portion to further aid in protecting the end of the hose, where the increase in thickness is substantially constant along a longitudinal length of the hose for instance in figure 1, where the outer layer 26 can be provided that covers at least a portion of a reinforced layer 14, and an inner layer 12, and where there is a stepped increase which can be seen as circular in that the tube is circular and conical in that it is an angled circular transition. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the increased thickness at the ends of Vohrer by forming such as a substantially constant thickness with a stepped portion as suggested by Nathan as such would provide more resistance to failure at the joint thereby saving money in replacement costs due to the failure.

Claims 26, 27, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vohrer (EP 118,613) in view of Nathan as applied to claims 20-25 and 30-33 above, and further in view of Phillippi. The patent to Vohrer as modified discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the first and second layers of different colors. The patent to Phillippi discloses that it is old and well known

Art Unit: 3754

to provide an inner layer 13 of one color, an outer layer 14 of another color and a reinforcing layer 15 there between, where the different colors for the different layers allows the user to notice wear more easily. It is considered an obvious choice of mechanical expedients to color certain parts of the length differently too as such would provide for a more colorful hose as such is merely a choice of mechanical expedients. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the inner and outer layer of Vohrer as modified to be formed of plastic of different colors to allow the user the ability to notice wear more easily as suggested by Phillippi.

Claims 28, 29, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vohrer (EP 118,613) in view of Nathan as applied to claims 20-25 and 30-33 above, and further in view of Ruskin. The patent to Vohrer as modified discloses all of the recited structure set forth above with the exception of providing an extra layer of a specific material to provide technical or aesthetic features to the hose. The patent to Ruskin discloses a multilayer hose comprising various layers including an inner layer 32, an outer layer 34, and a cover layer 36 which can be provided with a UV absorber to help shield against UV light. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the two layer tube of Vohrer as modified by adding additional layers where a layer can be provided over a second layer and be provided with a UV shielding material to help prevent deterioration by UV light as suggested by Ruskin, where such would allow the tube to be used outdoors without further worry of failure due to exposure to the sun and UV rays.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Marsden, Jr., Peavy, Oswald, and Keith disclosing state of the art reinforced tubing.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 3754

4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James F. Hook Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

JFH